

Modelos de Estrutura Temporal de Taxas de Juro  
Mestrado em Matemática Financeira 10/11  
IBS e FCUL  
Exame 1ª Época - Resolução

20/Dez/11

Duração: 3h

1. (a) Integrando ambos os membros da equação diferencial ordinária

$$\frac{\partial \phi_{\lambda, \mu}(t - t_0)}{\partial t} = -k\theta \psi_{\lambda, \mu}(t - t_0),$$

obtém-se

$$\phi_{\lambda, \mu}(t - t_0) - \phi_{\lambda, \mu}(0) = -k\theta \int_{t_0}^t \psi_{\lambda, \mu}(u - t_0) du,$$

i.e.

$$\phi_{\lambda, \mu}(t - t_0) = -k\theta \int_{t_0}^t \frac{\lambda [h + k + (h - k) e^{h(u-t_0)}] + 2\mu [e^{h(u-t_0)} - 1]}{g(u)} du, \quad (1)$$

sendo

$$g(u) := \sigma^2 \lambda (e^{h(u-t_0)} - 1) + h - k + (k + h) e^{h(u-t_0)}. \quad (2)$$

Visto que

$$h = \sqrt{k^2 + 2\sigma^2\mu},$$

então o numerador da função integranda na equação (1) pode ser reescrito como

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda [h + k + (h - k) e^{h(u-t_0)}] + 2\mu [e^{h(u-t_0)} - 1] \\ &= [2\mu + \lambda (h - k)] e^{h(u-t_0)} + [\lambda (h + k) - 2\mu] \\ &= \left[ \frac{h^2 - k^2}{\sigma^2} + \lambda (h - k) \right] e^{h(u-t_0)} + \left[ \lambda (h + k) - \frac{h^2 - k^2}{\sigma^2} \right] \\ &= -\frac{h + k}{\sigma^2} \left\{ \left[ -(h - k) - \lambda \frac{(h - k) \sigma^2}{h + k} \right] e^{h(u-t_0)} + [-\lambda \sigma^2 + (h - k)] \right\} \\ &= -\frac{h + k}{\sigma^2} \left\{ \left[ -(h - k) - \lambda \frac{(h - k) \sigma^2}{h + k} - \sigma^2 \lambda - (k + h) \right] e^{h(u-t_0)} + g(u) \right\} \\ &= -\frac{h + k}{\sigma^2} \left\{ \left[ -2h - \lambda \frac{(h - k) \sigma^2}{h + k} - \sigma^2 \lambda \right] e^{h(u-t_0)} + g(u) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

sendo que a penúltima igualdade resulta da definição (2).

Combinando as equações (1) e (3), e atendendo a que

$$\frac{\partial g(u)}{\partial u} = (\sigma^2 \lambda + k + h) h e^{h(u-t_0)},$$

obtem-se

$$\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{\lambda,\mu}(t-t_0) \\
&= -k\theta \frac{h+k}{\sigma^2} \int_{t_0}^t \frac{\left[2h + \lambda \frac{(h-k)\sigma^2}{h+k} + \sigma^2\lambda\right] e^{h(u-t_0)} - g(u)}{g(u)} du \\
&= k\theta \frac{h+k}{\sigma^2} (t-t_0) - k\theta \frac{h+k}{\sigma^2} \frac{2h + \lambda \frac{(h-k)\sigma^2}{h+k} + \sigma^2\lambda}{(\sigma^2\lambda + k + h)h} \int_{t_0}^t \frac{\frac{\partial g(u)}{\partial u}}{g(u)} du \\
&= \frac{k\theta}{\sigma^2} (h+k) (t-t_0) - \frac{k\theta}{\sigma^2} \frac{2h(h+k) + \lambda(h-k)\sigma^2 + \sigma^2\lambda(h+k)}{(\sigma^2\lambda + k + h)h} \ln g(u) \Big|_{t_0}^t \\
&= \frac{k\theta}{\sigma^2} (h+k) (t-t_0) - \frac{k\theta}{\sigma^2} \frac{2h(h+k + \sigma^2\lambda)}{(\sigma^2\lambda + k + h)h} \ln \left[ \frac{g(t)}{h-k+(h+k)} \right] \\
&= \frac{2k\theta}{\sigma^2} \frac{(h+k)}{2} (t-t_0) - \frac{2k\theta}{\sigma^2} \ln \left[ \frac{g(t)}{2h} \right] \\
&= \frac{2k\theta}{\sigma^2} \ln \left[ \frac{2he^{\frac{(h+k)}{2}(t-t_0)}}{g(t)} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

(b) Como o futuro é um  $\mathbb{Q}$ -martingale, então

$$F_t = M \times \delta \times \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}} [100\% - E(T, T + \delta) | \mathcal{F}_t].$$

Por outro lado, como

$$P(T, T + \delta) = \frac{1}{1 + \delta \times E(T, T + \delta)},$$

então

$$\begin{aligned}
F_t &= M \times \delta \times \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}} \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{\delta} \left[ \frac{1}{P(T, T + \delta)} - 1 \right] \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right\} \\
&= M \times \{ 1 + \delta - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}} [P(T, T, T + \delta)^{-1} | \mathcal{F}_t] \}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Utilizando a equação (315) dos apontamentos, sabemos que

$$\begin{aligned}
P(T, T, T + \delta)^{-1} &= \frac{P(t, T)}{P(t, T + \delta)} \exp \left\{ \frac{\rho^2}{2} \int_t^T [B^2(T + \delta - s) - B^2(T - s)] ds \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \rho \int_t^T [B(T + \delta - s) - B(T - s)] dW_s^{\mathbb{Q}} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Visto que

$$\rho \int_t^T [B(T + \delta - s) - B(T - s)] dW_s^{\mathbb{Q}} \Big| \mathcal{F}_t \stackrel{\mathbb{Q}}{\sim} N^1(0, v^2(t, T, T + \delta)),$$

então

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}} [P(T, T, T + \delta)^{-1} | \mathcal{F}_t] \\ &= \frac{P(t, T)}{P(t, T + \delta)} \exp \left\{ \frac{\rho^2}{2} \int_t^T [B^2(T + \delta - s) - B^2(T - s)] ds + 0 + \frac{v^2(t, T, T + \delta)}{2} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Finalmente, combinando as equações (4) e (5), obtemos:

$$\begin{aligned} F_t &= M \times (1 + \delta) - M \times P(t, T, T + \delta)^{-1} \\ &\quad \exp \left\{ \frac{\rho^2}{2} \int_t^T [B^2(T + \delta - s) - B^2(T - s)] ds + \frac{v^2(t, T, T + \delta)}{2} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

2. Via equação (59) dos handouts, o valor da put Europeia (com  $\beta < 2$ ) é dado por:

$$p_t(S, X, T) = -S_t e^{-q(T-t)} F_{\chi^2(2+\frac{2}{2-\beta}, 2x)}(2\kappa X^{2-\beta}) + X e^{-r(T-t)} Q_{\chi^2(\frac{2}{2-\beta}, 2\kappa X^{2-\beta})}(2x), \quad (6)$$

$$\kappa := \frac{2(r - q)}{(2 - \beta) \delta^2 [e^{(2-\beta)(r-q)(T-t)} - 1]}, \quad (7)$$

e

$$x := \kappa S_t^{2-\beta} e^{(2-\beta)(r-q)(T-t)}. \quad (8)$$

Sendo a volatilidade da taxa de rentabilidade do activo subjacente é igual a 30% ao ano, então, e via equação (2) dos handouts,

$$\delta = \frac{30\%}{(10)^{\frac{-3-2}{2}}} = 94.868330.$$

Retomando as equações (7) e (8),

$$\kappa = \frac{2(2\% - 1\%)}{(2 + 3)(94.86833)^2 [e^{(2+3)(2\%-1\%) \times 0.5} - 1]} \cong 1.75565 \times 10^{-5},$$

e

$$x = 1.75565 \times 10^{-5} \times (10)^{2+3} e^{(2+3)(2\%-1\%) \times 0.5} \cong 1.800092592.$$

Substituindo na equação (6), então

$$\begin{aligned} p_t &= -10 \times e^{-1\% \times 0.5} \times F_{\chi^2(2+\frac{2}{2+3}, 2 \times 1.800092592)}(2 \times 1.75565 \times 10^{-5} \times 8^{2+3}) \\ &\quad + 8 \times e^{-2\% \times 0.5} \times Q_{\chi^2(\frac{2}{2+3}, 2 \times 1.75565 \times 10^{-5} \times 8^{2+3})}(2 \times 1.800092592) \\ &= -10 \times e^{-1\% \times 0.5} \times F_{\chi^2(2.4, 3.600185183)}(1.15058157) \\ &\quad + 8 \times e^{-2\% \times 0.5} \times Q_{\chi^2(0.4, 1.15058157)}(3.600185183). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Via tabela do enunciado, sabemos que

$$F_{\chi^2(2.4, 3.600185183)}(1.15058157) = 0.914942118. \quad (10)$$

- (a) A probabilidade  $Q_{\chi^2(0.4, 1.15058157)}(3.600185183)$  pode ser calculada via aproximação de Sankaran, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\chi^2(a,b)}(z) &= 1 - \mathbb{Q}(\chi^2(a,b) < z) \\ &= 1 - \mathbb{Q}\left\{\left[\frac{\chi^2(a,b)}{a+b}\right]^h < \left(\frac{z}{a+b}\right)^h\right\} \\ &\approx \Phi\left[-\frac{\left(\frac{z}{a+b}\right)^h - \mu_h}{\sigma_h}\right], \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

onde

$$\mu_h := 1 + h(h-1)\frac{a+2b}{(a+b)^2} - h(h-1)(2-h)(1-3h)\frac{(a+2b)^2}{2(a+b)^4}, \quad (12)$$

$$\sigma_h^2 := h^2\frac{2(a+2b)}{(a+b)^2}\left[1 - (1-h)(1-3h)\frac{a+2b}{(a+b)^2}\right], \quad (13)$$

e

$$h := 1 - \frac{2}{3}(a+b)(a+3b)(a+2b)^{-2}. \quad (14)$$

Visto que  $a = 0.4$  e  $b = 1.15058157$ , então

$$\begin{aligned} h &= 1 - \frac{2}{3}(0.4 + 1.15058157)(0.4 + 3 \times 1.15058157) \\ &\quad (0.4 + 2 \times 1.15058157)^{-2} \\ &\cong 0.454293378, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_h &= 1 + 0.454293378 \times (0.454293378 - 1) \frac{0.4 + 2 \times 1.15058157}{(0.4 + 1.15058157)^2} \\ &\quad - 0.454293378 \times (0.454293378 - 1)(2 - 0.454293378) \\ &\quad (1 - 3 \times 0.454293378) \frac{(0.4 + 2 \times 1.15058157)^2}{2(0.4 + 1.15058157)^4} \\ &\cong 0.633723021, \end{aligned}$$

e

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_h^2 &= 0.454293378^2 \times \frac{2(0.4 + 2 \times 1.15058157)}{(0.4 + 1.15058157)^2} \\ &\quad \left[1 - (1 - 0.454293378)(1 - 3 \times 0.454293378) \frac{0.4 + 2 \times 1.15058157}{(0.4 + 1.15058157)^2}\right] \\ &\cong 0.566897941. \end{aligned}$$

Utilizando a equação (11),

$$\Phi\left[-\frac{\left(\frac{z}{a+b}\right)^h - \mu_h}{\sigma_h}\right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_{\chi^2(0.4, 1.15058157)}(3.600185183) &= \Phi \left[ -\frac{\left(\frac{3.600185183}{0.4+1.15058157}\right)^{0.454293378} - 0.633723021}{\sqrt{0.566897941}} \right] \\
&\cong 0.134436848.
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Finalmente, combinando as equações (9), (10) e (15),

$$\begin{aligned}
p_t &= -10 \times e^{-1\% \times 0.5} \times 0.914942118 + 8 \times e^{-2\% \times 0.5} \times 0.134436848 \\
&\cong EUR0.21846.
\end{aligned}$$

3. Utilizando a Proposição 22 dos apontamentos,

$$\begin{aligned}
p_0 &= -10 \times e^{-1\% \times 0.5} \times [1 - P_1(S_t = 10, v_t = 0.09; T = 0.5, X = 8)] \\
&\quad + e^{-2\% \times 0.5} \times 8 \times [1 - P_2(S_t = 10, v_t = 0.09; T = 0.5, X = 8)].
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Com base nas equações (173) e (174) dos apontamentos:

$$\begin{aligned}
P_1(S_t = 10, v_t = 0.09; T = 0.5, X = 8) &\approx \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1.22557595}{\pi} \\
&\cong 0.89011,
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

e

$$\begin{aligned}
P_2(S_t = 10, v_t = 0.09; T = 0.5, X = 8) &\approx \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1.08032970}{\pi} \\
&\cong 0.84388.
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

(a) Combinando as equações (16), (17) e (18),

$$\begin{aligned}
p_0 &= -10 \times e^{-1\% \times 0.5} \times (1 - 0.89011) + e^{-2\% \times 0.5} \times 8 \times (1 - 0.84388) \\
&\cong EUR0.14315.
\end{aligned}$$

4. (a) Utilizando a Proposição 59 dos apontamentos,

$$\begin{aligned}
&c_0 [P(0, 1.5); 98.095\%; 0.5] \\
&= P(0, 1.5) \Phi(d_1^V) - 98.095\% P(0, 0.5) \Phi(d_0^V) \\
&= 0.9753 \times \Phi(d_1^V) - 0.98095 \times 0.9932 \times \Phi(d_0^V),
\end{aligned}$$

onde

$$\begin{aligned}
v(0, 0.5, 1.5) &= \sqrt{\frac{0.05^2}{2^2} [1 - e^{-2 \times (1.5 - 0.5)}]^2 \frac{1 - e^{-2 \times 2 \times 0.5}}{2 \times 2}} \\
&\cong 1.005\%,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
d_1^V &= \frac{\ln\left(\frac{0.9753}{0.98095 \times 0.9932}\right) + \frac{(1.005\%)^2}{2}}{1.005\%} \\
&\cong 0.109272841,
\end{aligned}$$

e

$$\begin{aligned} d_0^V &= 0.109272841 - 1.005\% \\ &\cong 0.099222481. \end{aligned}$$

Portanto,

$$\begin{aligned} &c_0 [P(0, 1.5); 98.095\%; 0.5] \\ &= 0.9753 \times \Phi(0.109272841) - 0.98095 \times 0.9932 \times \Phi(0.099222481) \\ &= 0.9753 \times 0.543506957 - 0.98095 \times 0.9932 \times 0.539519187 \\ &\cong 0.444\%. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

- (b) De acordo com a Proposição 61 dos apontamentos, o valor actual de uma *call* sobre a CBB pode ser decomposto numa carteira de 2 *calls* Europeias sobre PBD:

$$\begin{aligned} &c_0(B_t; X = 104\%; T = 0.5) \\ &= \frac{4\%}{2} \times c_0[P(0, 1); X_1; T = 0.5] \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{4\%}{2} + 102\%\right) \times c_0[P(0, 1.5); X_2; T = 0.5]. \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Os *strikes* podem ser obtidos via equação (327) dos apontamentos:

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= \exp[A(1 - 0.5) - B(0.5) \times 1.85\%] \\ &= \exp(-0.0037 - 0.3161 \times 1.85\%) \\ &\cong 99.055\%, \end{aligned}$$

e

$$\begin{aligned} X_2 &= \exp[A(1.5 - 0.5) - B(1) \times 1.85\%] \\ &= \exp(-0.011234 - 0.4323 \times 1.85\%) \\ &\cong 98.095\%. \end{aligned}$$

Portanto,

$$\begin{aligned} &c_0(B_t; X = 104\%; T = 0.5) \\ &= \frac{4\%}{2} \times c_0[P(0, 1); 99.055\%; T = 0.5] \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{4\%}{2} + 102\%\right) \times c_0[P(0, 1.5); 98.095\%; T = 0.5]. \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

A segunda *call* já foi avaliada na alínea anterior –vide equação (19):

$$c_0[P(0, 1.5); 98.095\%; 0.5] \cong 0.444\%. \tag{22}$$

Relativamente à primeira *call*, o enunciado fornece o seguinte valor actual:

$$c_0 [P(0, 1); 99.055\%; T = 0.5] = 0.327\%. \quad (23)$$

Combinando as equações (21), (22) e (23),

$$\begin{aligned} & c_0 (B_t; X = 104\%; T = 0.5) \\ &= 2\% \times 0.327\% + 104\% \times 0.444\% \\ &\cong 0.468\%. \end{aligned}$$

5. (a) Settlement date = 20/12/11 + 5 dias de calendário = 23/12/11.

Pretende-se avaliar uma obrigação com os seguintes cash flows vindendos:



Portanto,

$$\begin{aligned} B_0 &= 3\%P(0, 0.4153) + 3\%P(0, 1.4153) + 103\%P(0, 2.4153) \\ &= 3\%P(0, 0.4153) + 3\% \times 0.9820 + 103\% \times 0.9663. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Relativamente ao factor de desconto a 0.4153 anos, via equações (346), (343) e (344) dos apontamentos,

$$\gamma = \sqrt{(0.5)^2 + 2 \times (0.05)^2} \cong 0.5050,$$

$$\begin{aligned} B(0.4153) &= -\frac{2 \times (e^{0.505 \times 0.4153} - 1)}{2 \times 0.505 + (0.5 + 0.505) \times (e^{0.505 \times 0.4153} - 1)} \\ &\cong -0.3750, \end{aligned}$$

e

$$\begin{aligned} A(0.4153) &= \frac{2 \times 0.5 \times 0.02}{(0.05)^2} \times \ln \left[ \frac{2 \times 0.505 \times e^{(0.5+0.505) \times \frac{0.4153}{2}}}{2 \times 0.505 + (0.5 + 0.505) \times (e^{0.505 \times 0.4153} - 1)} \right] \\ &\cong -0.0008. \end{aligned}$$

Portanto,

$$\begin{aligned} P(0, 0.4153) &= \exp(-0.0008 - 0.3750 \times 1\%) \\ &\cong 0.9955. \end{aligned}$$

Retomando a equação (24), então

$$\begin{aligned} B_0 &= 3\% \times 0.9955 + 3\% \times 0.9820 + 103\% \times 0.9663 \\ &\cong 105.464\%. \end{aligned}$$

(b)

$$\begin{aligned} VT^{bid} &= \frac{3\%}{(1 + 1.47\%)^{0.4153}} + \frac{3\%}{(1 + 1.47\%)^{1.4153}} + \frac{103\%}{(1 + 1.47\%)^{2.4153}} \\ &\cong 105.35\%. \end{aligned}$$

Visto que  $VT^{bid} < B_0$ , então decide-se não vender.

$$\begin{aligned} VT^{ask} &= \frac{3\%}{(1 + 1.449\%)^{0.4153}} + \frac{3\%}{(1 + 1.449\%)^{1.4153}} + \frac{103\%}{(1 + 1.449\%)^{2.4153}} \\ &\cong 105.40\%. \end{aligned}$$

Visto que  $VT^{ask} < B_0$ , então decide-se comprar.

- (c) Seja  $t = 0$ ,  $T = 2$  anos,  $K = 98.50\%$ ,  $M = \$1M$  e  $P(T, T + 1)$  o factor de desconto a 12 meses em vigor daqui a 2 anos. Consequentemente, o payoff terminal da opção, daqui a 2 anos, é dado por

$$V_T = M \times P(T, T + 1) \times \mathbb{1}_{\{P(T, T+1) < K\}}.$$

Consequentemente, o valor actual da opção é dado por

$$\begin{aligned} V_t &= P(t, T + 1) \times \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}_{T+1}} \left[ \frac{M \times P(T, T + 1) \times \mathbb{1}_{\{P(T, T+1) < K\}}}{P(T, T + 1)} \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right] \\ &= M \times P(t, T + 1) \times \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}_{T+1}} [\mathbb{1}_{\{P(T, T+1) < K\}} | \mathcal{F}_t] \\ &= M \times P(t, T + 1) \times \mathbb{Q}_{T+1} [P(T, T + 1) < K | \mathcal{F}_t]. \end{aligned}$$

Visto que

$$P(T, T + 1) = \exp[A(1) + B(1)r_T],$$

então

$$\begin{aligned} V_t &= M \times P(t, T + 1) \times \mathbb{Q}_{T+1} [A(1) + B(1)r_T < \ln(K) | \mathcal{F}_t] \\ &= M \times P(t, T + 1) \times \mathbb{Q}_{T+1} \left[ r_T > \frac{\ln(K) - A(1)}{B(1)} \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right] \\ &= M \times P(t, T + 1) \times Q_{\chi^2(\frac{4k\theta}{\sigma^2}, \zeta)} \left[ \frac{\ln(K) - A(1)}{B(1)L} \right], \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

visto que

$$\frac{r_T}{L} \stackrel{\mathbb{Q}_{T+1}}{\sim} \chi^2 \left( \frac{4k\theta}{\sigma^2}, \zeta \right),$$

com

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta &= \frac{8r_t \gamma^2 e^{\gamma(T-t)}}{\sigma^2 [e^{\gamma(T-t)} - 1] \{ \gamma [e^{\gamma(T-t)} + 1] + [k - \sigma^2 \times B(1)] [e^{\gamma(T-t)} - 1] \}} \\ &= \zeta_2 \\ &= \frac{(0.05)^{-2} (e^{0.505 \times 2} - 1)^{-1} [8 \times 1\% \times (0.505)^2 \times e^{0.505 \times 2}]}{\{ 0.505 [e^{0.505 \times 2} + 1] + [0.5 - (0.05)^2 (-0.7867)] [e^{0.505 \times 2} - 1] \}} \\ &\cong 4.637694995 \end{aligned}$$

e

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \frac{e^{\gamma(T-t)} - 1}{\gamma [e^{\gamma(T-t)} + 1] + [k - \sigma^2 \times B(1)] [e^{\gamma(T-t)} - 1]} \\ &= L_2 \\ &= \frac{(0.05)^2}{2} \frac{e^{0.505 \times 2} - 1}{0.505 \times [e^{0.505 \times 2} + 1] + [0.5 - (0.05)^2 \times (-0.7867)] [e^{0.505 \times 2} - 1]} \\ &\cong 0.000788367. \end{aligned}$$

Portanto,

$$\begin{aligned} &Q_{\chi^2(\frac{4k\theta}{\sigma^2}, \zeta)} \left[ \frac{\ln(K) - A(1)}{B(1)L} \right] \\ &= Q_{\chi^2(\frac{4 \times 0.5 \times 2\%}{0.05^2}, 4.637694995)} \left[ \frac{\ln(98.5\%) - (-0.0043)}{-0.7867 \times 0.000788367} \right] \\ &= Q_{\chi^2(16, 4.637694995)} (17.49952893) \\ &\cong 0.361963928. \end{aligned}$$

Finalmente, recuperando a equação (25), então

$$\begin{aligned} V_t &= \$1M \times 0.9566 \times 0.361963928 \\ &\cong EUR346,242.03. \end{aligned}$$